## Response to: 'Women's journey in Mexican rheumatology. Comment on 'Gender gap in rheumatology: speaker representation at annual conferences' by Monga *et al*' by Colunga-Pedraza *et al*

We read the correspondence by Colunga-Pedraza *et al* to our letter about the gender gap in the American College of Rheumatology (ACR) annual conference with great interest.<sup>12</sup> The authors provide data on the first authors of oral presentations in the Mexican College of Rheumatology (MCR) annual meetings from 2011 to 2018. Out of 153 oral presentations, 79 (51.6%) had women as first authors. While the overall percentage of oral presentations given by female authors surpassed the 42.1% reported as the total number of female rheumatologists in Mexico up to 2017, they found that there were fluctuations based on the year. If the data were available, an additionally valuable insight would be whether these fluctuations were correlated with the proportion of women among new rheumatologists entering the workforce in those years.

We have previously commented on the value of looking at both first and senior authors when responding to the work of Adami et al.<sup>13</sup> The representation of women among the first authors of original research in high impact general medical journals was significantly higher overall in 2014 compared with 1994, in a study by Filardo et al.<sup>4</sup> These authors also noted differences in gender representation that varied across journals, as well as plateauing or declining trends in some cases. An older study by Jagsi et al evaluated the percentage of both first and senior authors in high-impact medical journals<sup>5</sup> and found significantly increases over time for both. Looking at both authorship positions, whether it is for oral abstract presentations or publications, would provide some information on trends over time, as the last authorship position is usually reserved for a more senior researcher. It would also be helpful to note whether the selection process for these abstracts involves a double-blind peer review, as this tends to favour increased representation of female authors.<sup>67</sup>

In summary, Colunga-Pedraza *et al* present more data to support improved gender representation in rheumatology—but also the fact that we still have improvements left to make.

## Kanika Monga,<sup>1</sup> Jean Liew <sup>© 2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Rheumatology, University of Texas - McGovern Medical School, Houston, Texas, USA <sup>2</sup>Medicine, Section of Rheumatology, Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA **Correspondence to** Dr Jean Liew, Medicine, Section of Rheumatology, Boston University, Boston, MA 02118, USA; liew.jw@gmail.com

Handling editor Josef S Smolen

Twitter Jean Liew @rheum\_cat

Contributors KM and JL contributed equally.

**Funding** The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests None declared.

**Patient and public involvement** Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Provenance and peer review Commissioned; internally peer reviewed.

 $\hfill {\ensuremath{\mathbb O}}$  Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2022. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.



To cite Monga K, Liew J. Ann Rheum Dis 2022;81:e168.

Received 21 July 2020 Accepted 22 July 2020 Published Online First 4 August 2020



http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2020-218541

Ann Rheum Dis 2022;81:e168. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2020-218630

## ORCID iD

Jean Liew http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8104-2450

## REFERENCES

- Monga K, Liew J. Gender gap in rheumatology: SPEAKER representation at annual conferences. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2020. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2020-217673. [Epub ahead of print: 13 May 2020].
- 2 Colunga-Pedraza I, Arvizu-Rivera R, Serna-Pena G, et al. Women's journey in Mexican rheumatology. Comment on "Gender gap in rheumatology: speaker representation at annial conferences. Ann Rheum Dis 2022;81:e167.
- 3 Adami G, Benini C, Vantaggiato E, et al. Gender disparity in authorship of guidelines and recommendations in rheumatology. Ann Rheum Dis 2020;79:1122–3.
- 4 Filardo G, da Graca B, Sass DM, et al. Trends and comparison of female first authorship in high impact medical journals: observational study (1994-2014). BMJ 2016;352:i847.
- 5 Jagsi R, Guancial EA, Worobey CC, et al. The "gender gap" in authorship of academic medical literature--a 35-year perspective. N Engl J Med 2006;355:281–7.
- 6 Budden AE, Tregenza T, Aarssen LW, et al. Double-blind review favours increased representation of female authors. Trends Ecol Evol 2008;23:4–6.
- 7 Mulligan A, Hall L, Raphael E. Peer review in a changing world: an international study measuring the attitudes of researchers. *J Am Soc Inf Sci Tec* 2013;64:132–61.